

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

ain

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/615,552	07/08/2003	Ian Robert Appelbaum	129-001	9049	
7590 12/04/2006			EXAM	EXAMINER	
James Marc Leas			JACKSON JR, JEROME		
37 Butler Drive S. Burlington, VT 05403			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
5. Burnington,	V1 03403		2815		
			DATE MAILED: 12/04/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/615,552	APPELBAUM ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
•	Jerome Jackson Jr.	2815				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app						
Period for Reply		•				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period was Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin  rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from  cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22 Se	eptember 2006.					
<u> </u>	action is non-final.					
3)☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-48,57-60 and 64-67</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) 9,21-30,33-38,42,44-46,58 and 64-67 is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-8,10-20,31,32,39-41,43,47,48,57,59 and 60</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	-				
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Oπice	Action of form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	)-(d) or (f).				
<ol> <li>Certified copies of the priority documents</li> </ol>	s have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	•	ed in this National Stage				
application from the International Bureau	, , , ,	ad.				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	or the certified copies flot receive	м.				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:					

Art Unit: 2815

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 9/22/06 has been entered.

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claims 1-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Nurmikko '725.

Upon further consideration of figure 4 of '725 it is apparent the electrically conductive layer 22, or the optional embodiment with the MTJ directly disposed on the VCSEL, is a Schottky contact, and consequently, at least an "energy barrier". By the laws of physics any metal/semiconductor junction broadly defines a Schottky contact with an energy barrier depending on the particular material properties. In fact any heterojunction between any different materials broadly defines an "energy barrier" whose magnitude depends on the particular material properties. In particular, in Nurmikko the NiFe or Co metal disposed on the GaAs inherently defines a Schottky contact and "energy barrier". Claim 1 therefor does not structurally distinguish over '725. Additionally the magnetic field is pointing in the claimed direction in order for the device to detect the field. The new limitations do not distinguish over Nurmikko.

Art Unit: 2815

Claims 1-8,10-20,31,32,39-41,43,48,57,59 and 60 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nurmikko in view of Sato '143.

As previously, it would have been obvious to have practiced a bipolar spin valve device as Sato in an integrated led/valve MOD for the advantage of greater collector current and hence greater light emission. See also the rejection of 3/6/06 comprising Nurmikko with Sato.

Claims 1-8,10-20,31,32,39-41,43,47,48,47,57,59,60 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Jiang in view of Nurmikko.

Jiang teaches the structure claimed. Nurmikko teaches a magnetic field sensing use for a device similar to Jiang where the magnetic field is aligned parallel to the interface of the magnetically sensitive portion and light emitting portion in order to sense the magnetic field. It would have been obvious to practice the Jiang device as a magnetic sensor with the magnetic field aligned parallel as taught in Nurmikko. Claim 1 is obvious over using the device as Jiang as a magnetic field sensor from the teachings of Nurmikko.

Applicant's arguments with respect to all of claims have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

In regard to previous arguments Nurmikko does not teach an "energy barrier" see the above analysis showing there is an "energy barrier" in Nurmikko. Note also claim 1 does not recite any particular energy barrier magnitude or Schottky metal that would unequivocally distinguish over the inherent energy barrier in Nurmikko. Claim 1 is broad. In regard to arguments concerning Jiang see the rejection above.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jerome Jackson Jr. whose telephone number is 571-272-1730. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ken Parker can be reached on 571-272-2298. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

jj

JEROWE/JACKSON PRIMARY/FXAMINER